Quantized Affine Algebras and Crystals with Head

Seok-Jin Kang* and Masaki Kashiwara[†]

* Department of Mathematics

Seoul National University

Seoul 151-742, Korea

and

[†] Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan

October 2, 1997

Abstract

Motivated by the work of Nakayashiki on the inhomogeneous vertex models of 6-vertex type, we introduce the notion of crystals with head. We show that the tensor product of the highest weight crystal $B(\lambda)$ of level k and the perfect crystal B_l of level l is isomorphic to the tensor product of the perfect crystal B_{l-k} of level l-k and the highest weight crystal $B(\lambda')$ of level k.

1 Introduction

In [9], Nakayashiki studied the inhomogeneous vertex models of 6-vertex type, and he explained the degeneration of the ground states from the point of view of the representation theory as follows. Let $V(\Lambda_i)$ be the irreducible $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module with the highest weight Λ_i (i=0,1) of level 1, and let V_s be the (s+1)-dimensional $U_q'(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ -module. Then there exists an intertwiner

$$\Phi(z): (V_{s-1})_z \otimes V(\Lambda_i) \to V(\Lambda_{i+1}) \otimes (V_s)_z.$$

He identified $(V_{s-1})_z$ with the degeneration of the ground states.

^{*}Supported in part by Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education of Korea, BSRI-97-1414, and GARC-KOSEF at Seoul National University.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classifications: 17B37, 81R50, 82B23.

The q=0 limit can be described in terms of crystal bases. Let B_s be the crystal base of V_s , and let $B(\Lambda_i)$ be the crystal base of $V(\Lambda_i)$. Then we have an isomorphism of crystals

$$B_{s-1} \otimes B(\Lambda_i) \cong B(\Lambda_{i+1}) \otimes B_s$$
.

The purpose of this paper is to generalize the above result on crystals in a more general situation, replacing $U'_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ with quantized affine algebras $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$, $B(\Lambda_i)$ with the crystals of the integrable highest weight representations of arbitrary positive level, and B_s with perfect crystals.

The crystal of the integrable highest weight representation has a unique highest weight vector. Namely, it contains a unique vector b such that $\tilde{e}_i b = 0$ for all i and all the other vectors can be obtained from b by applying \tilde{f}_i 's successively. However, neither $B_{s-1} \otimes B(\Lambda_i)$ nor $B(\Lambda_{i+1}) \otimes B_s$ has such properties. Instead, they satisfy weaker properties: the highest weight vector has to be replaced with a subset consisting of several vectors, which we call the *head*. This is a combinatorial phenomenon corresponding to the degeneration of the ground states in the exactly solvable models.

Let B be a crystal. For $b \in B$, let E(b) be the smallest subset of B containing b and stable under the \tilde{e}_i 's. We say that B has a head if E(b) is a finite set for any $b \in B$. For such a crystal, we define its head H(B) to be $\{b \in B | E(b') = E(b) \text{ for every } b' \in E(b)\}$. Then the head replaces the role of highest weight vectors: all the vectors in B can be obtained from vectors in the head by applying \tilde{f}_i 's successively.

If D is a finite regular crystal and $B(\lambda)$ is the crystal of the integrable highest weight representation with highest weight λ of level k, then $D \otimes B(\lambda)$ has a head and its head is given by $D \otimes u_{\lambda}$, where u_{λ} is the highest weight vector of $B(\lambda)$. However, if we change the order of the tensor product, the situation is completely different. The crystal $B(\lambda) \otimes D$ has a head, but $u_{\lambda} \otimes D$ is not the head in general. In this paper, we prove that, for a perfect crystal B_l of level l > k, $B(\lambda) \otimes B_l$ is isomorphic to the crystal $B_{l-k} \otimes B(\lambda')$ for another dominant integral weight λ' of level k and the perfect crystal B_{l-k} of level l-k(see Theorem 5.4 for more precise statements).

The proof is based on the theory of coherent families of perfect crystals developed in [5] and the characterization of crystals of the form $D \otimes B(\lambda)$. We introduce the notion of regular head (Definition 4.1), and we prove that any connected regular crystal with regular head is isomorphic to a crystal of the form $H(B) \otimes B(\lambda)$ for some dominant integral weight λ (see Theorem 4.7 for more precise statements). Then, we check the regularity condition for the coherent families of perfect crystals.

Acknowledgments. The first author would like to express his gratitude to the members of Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University for their hospitality during his stay in the winter and the summer of 1997, and the second author thanks the Department of Mathematics of Seoul National University for their hospitality during

his visit in the fall of 1997. We would also like to thank T. Miwa for many stimulating discussions.

2 Quantized Affine Algebras

Let I be a finite index set and $A=(a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ a generalized Cartan matrix of affine type. We choose a vector space \mathfrak{t} of dimension |I|+1, and let $\Pi=\{\alpha_i|\ i\in I\}$ and $\Pi^\vee=\{h_i|\ i\in I\}$ be linearly independent subsets of \mathfrak{t}^* and \mathfrak{t} , respectively, satisfying $\langle h_i,\alpha_j\rangle=a_{ij}$ for all $i,j\in I$. The α_i (resp. h_i) are called the simple roots (resp. simple coroots), and the free abelian group $Q=\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ (resp. $Q^\vee=\bigoplus_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}h_i$) is called the root lattice (resp. dual root lattice). We denote by $\delta=\sum_{i\in I}a_i\alpha_i\in Q$ the smallest positive imaginary root and $c=\sum_{i\in I}a_i^\vee h_i\in Q^\vee$ the canonical central element (cf. [2, Chapter 6]). Set $\mathfrak{t}_{\rm cl}^*=\mathfrak{t}^*/\mathbb{C}\delta$ and let ${\bf cl}:\mathfrak{t}^*\to\mathfrak{t}_{\rm cl}^*$ be the canonical projection. We denote by $\mathfrak{t}^{*0}=\{\lambda\in\mathfrak{t}^*|\ \langle c,\lambda\rangle=0\}$ and $\mathfrak{t}_{\rm cl}^{*0}={\bf cl}(\mathfrak{t}^{*0})$.

Let $P = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^* \mid \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i \in I\}$ be the weight lattice and $P^{\vee} = \{h \in \mathfrak{t} \mid \langle h, \alpha_i \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ for all } i \in I\}$ be the dual weight lattice. Note that $\alpha_i, \Lambda_i \in P$ and $h_i \in P^{\vee}$, where $\Lambda_i \in \mathfrak{t}^*$ are linear forms satisfying $\langle h_j, \Lambda_i \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ $(i, j \in I)$. Set $P_{\text{cl}} = \text{cl}(P) = \text{Hom}(Q^{\vee}, \mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathfrak{t}_{\text{cl}}^*$, $P^0 = \{\lambda \in P \mid \langle c, \lambda \rangle = 0\} \subset \mathfrak{t}^{*0}$, and $P_{\text{cl}}^0 = \text{cl}(P^0) \subset \mathfrak{t}_{\text{cl}}^{*0}$.

Since the generalized Cartan matrix A is symmetrizable, there is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form $(\ ,\)$ on \mathfrak{t}^* satisfying

$$\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle = \frac{2(\alpha_i, \lambda)}{(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}$$
 for all $i \in I$, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*$.

We normalize the bilinear form so that we have

$$(\delta, \lambda) = \langle c, \lambda \rangle.$$

Note that \mathfrak{t}_{cl}^{*0} has a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form induced by that on \mathfrak{t}^* . We take the smallest positive integer γ such that $\gamma(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2$ is a positive integer for all $i \in I$.

Definition 2.1 The quantized affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is the associative algebra with 1 over $\mathbb{C}(q^{1/\gamma})$ generated by the elements e_i , f_i $(i \in I)$ and q(h) $(h \in \gamma^{-1}P^{\vee})$ satisfying the following defining relations:

$$q(0) = 1, \ q(h)q(h') = q(h+h') \quad (h,h' \in \gamma^{-1}P^{\vee}),$$

$$q(h)e_{i}q(-h) = q^{\langle h,\alpha_{i}\rangle}e_{i},$$

$$q(h)f_{i}q(-h) = q^{-\langle h,\alpha_{i}\rangle}f_{i} \quad (h \in \gamma^{-1}P^{\vee}, i \in I),$$

$$[e_{i},f_{j}] = \delta_{ij}\frac{t_{i}-t_{i}^{-1}}{q_{i}-q_{i}^{-1}} \quad (i,j \in I),$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^{k}e_{i}^{(k)}e_{j}e_{i}^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} = \sum_{k=0}^{1-a_{ij}} (-1)^{k}f_{i}^{(k)}f_{j}f_{i}^{(1-a_{ij}-k)} = 0 \quad (i \neq j),$$

where
$$q_i = q^{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)/2}$$
, $t_i = q(\frac{(\alpha_i,\alpha_i)}{2}h_i)$, $e_i^{(k)} = e_i^k/[k]_i!$, $f_i^{(k)} = f_i^k/[k]_i!$, $[k]_i = \frac{q_i^k - q_i^{-k}}{q_i - q_i^{-1}}$, and $[k]_i! = [1]_i[2]_i \dots [k]_i$ for all $i \in I$.

The quantized affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ has a Hopf algebra structure with comultiplication Δ , counit ε , and antipode S defined by

(2.2)
$$\Delta(q(h)) = q(h) \otimes q(h),$$

$$\Delta(e_i) = e_i \otimes t_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes e_i, \quad \Delta(f_i) = f_i \otimes 1 + t_i \otimes f_i,$$

$$\varepsilon(q(h)) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(e_i) = \varepsilon(f_i) = 0,$$

$$S(q(h)) = q(-h), \quad S(e_i) = -e_i t_i, \quad S(f_i) = -t_i^{-1} f_i$$

for all $h \in \gamma^{-1}P^{\vee}$, $i \in I$.

We denote by $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ the subalgebra of $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_i , f_i $(i \in I)$ and q(h) $(h \in \gamma^{-1}Q^{\vee})$, which will also be called the quantized affine algebra.

A $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$ -module M is called integrable if it has the weight space decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in P_{\operatorname{cl}}} M_{\lambda}$, where $M_{\lambda} = \{u \in M \mid q(h)u = q^{\langle h, \lambda \rangle}u \text{ for all } h \in \gamma^{-1}Q^{\vee}\}$, and M is $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})_i$ -locally finite (i.e., $\dim U_q'(\mathfrak{g})_i u < \infty$ for all $u \in M$) for all $i \in I$, where $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})_i$ denotes the subalgebra of $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_i , f_i , and t_i .

3 Crystals with Head

In studying the structure of integrable representations of quantized affine algebras, the crystal base theory developed in [3] provides a very powerful combinatorial method. In this section, we develop the theory of crystals with head. We first recall the definition of crystals given in [4].

Definition 3.1 A crystal B is a set together with the maps wt : $B \to P$, $\varepsilon_i : B \to \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}$, $\varphi_i : B \to \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}$, $\tilde{e}_i : B \to B \sqcup \{0\}$, $\tilde{f}_i : B \to B \sqcup \{0\}$ ($i \in I$) satisfying the axioms:

$$\langle h_i, \operatorname{wt}(b) \rangle = \varphi_i(b) - \varepsilon_i(b) \text{ for all } b \in B,$$

$$\operatorname{wt}(\tilde{e}_i b) = \operatorname{wt}(b) + \alpha_i \text{ for } b \in B \text{ with } \tilde{e}_i b \in B,$$

$$\operatorname{wt}(\tilde{f}_i b) = \operatorname{wt}(b) - \alpha_i \text{ for } b \in B \text{ with } \tilde{f}_i b \in B,$$

$$\tilde{f}_i b = b' \text{ if and only if } b = \tilde{e}_i b' \text{ for } b, b' \in B,$$

$$\tilde{e}_i b = \tilde{f}_i b = 0 \text{ if } \varepsilon_i(b) = -\infty.$$

Definition 3.2 For two crystals B_1 and B_2 , a morphism of crystals from B_1 to B_2 is a map $\psi: B_1 \sqcup \{0\} \to B_2 \sqcup \{0\}$ such that

$$\psi(0) = 0,$$

$$\psi(\tilde{e}_{i}b) = \tilde{e}_{i}\psi(b) \text{ for } b \in B_{1} \text{ with } \tilde{e}_{i}b \in B_{1}, \ \psi(b) \in B_{2}, \ \psi(\tilde{e}_{i}b) \in B_{2},$$

$$(3.2) \qquad \psi(\tilde{f}_{i}b) = \tilde{f}_{i}\psi(b) \text{ for } b \in B_{1} \text{ with } \tilde{f}_{i}b \in B_{1}, \ \psi(b) \in B_{2}, \ \psi(\tilde{f}_{i}b) \in B_{2},$$

$$\text{wt}(\psi(b)) = \text{wt}(b) \text{ for } b \in B_{1} \text{ with } \psi(b) \in B_{2},$$

$$\varepsilon_{i}(\psi(b)) = \varepsilon_{i}(b), \ \varphi_{i}(\psi(b)) = \varphi_{i}(b) \text{ for } b \in B_{1} \text{ with } \psi(b) \in B_{2}.$$

A morphism $\psi: B_1 \to B_2$ is called an *embedding* if the map $\psi: B_1 \sqcup \{0\} \to B_2 \sqcup \{0\}$ is injective. In this case, we call B_1 a *subcrystal* of B_2 .

For two crystals B_1 and B_2 , we define their tensor product $B_1 \otimes B_2$ as follows. The underlying set is $B_1 \times B_2$. For $b_1 \in B_1$, $b_2 \in B_2$, we write $b_1 \otimes b_2$ for (b_1, b_2) and we understand $b_1 \otimes 0 = 0 \otimes b_2 = 0$. We define the maps wt : $B_1 \otimes B_2 \to P$, ε_i : $B_1 \otimes B_2 \to \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}$, $\varphi_i : B_1 \otimes B_2 \to \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}$, $\tilde{e}_i : B_1 \otimes B_2 \to B_1 \otimes B_2 \sqcup \{0\}$, $\tilde{f}_i : B_1 \otimes B_2 \to B_1 \otimes B_2 \sqcup \{0\}$ ($i \in I$) as follows:

$$(3.3) wt(b_1 \otimes b_2) = wt(b_1) + wt(b_2),$$

$$\varepsilon_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \max(\varepsilon_i(b_1), \ \varepsilon_i(b_2) - \langle h_i, wt(b_1) \rangle),$$

$$\varphi_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \max(\varphi_i(b_2), \ \varphi_i(b_1) + \langle h_i, wt(b_2) \rangle),$$

$$\tilde{e}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \begin{cases} \tilde{e}_i b_1 \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \ge \varepsilon_i(b_2), \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{e}_i b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) < \varepsilon_i(b_2), \end{cases}$$

$$\tilde{f}_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_i b_1 \otimes b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) > \varepsilon_i(b_2), \\ b_1 \otimes \tilde{f}_i b_2 & \text{if } \varphi_i(b_1) \le \varepsilon_i(b_2), \end{cases}$$

In the sequel, we will only consider the crystals over the quantized affine algebra $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$. Hence the weights of crystals will be elements of $P_{\rm cl}$. For example, for $\lambda \in P_{\rm cl}$, consider the set $T_{\lambda} = \{t_{\lambda}\}$ with one element. Define ${\rm wt}(t_{\lambda}) = \lambda$, $\varepsilon_i(t_{\lambda}) = \varphi_i(t_{\lambda}) = -\infty$, and $\tilde{e}_i(t_{\lambda}) = \tilde{f}_i(t_{\lambda}) = 0$ ($i \in I$). Then T_{λ} is a crystal and we have $T_{\lambda} \otimes T_{\lambda'} \cong T_{\lambda+\lambda'}$.

For a dominant integral weight λ , we denote by $B(\lambda)$ the crystal associated with the integrable highest weight representation with highest weight λ , and u_{λ} the highest weight vector of $B(\lambda)$. The highest weight vector u_{λ} is the unique element of $B(\lambda)$ with weight λ satisfying $\tilde{e}_i u_{\lambda} = 0$ for all $i \in I$.

For a subset J of I, we denote by $U'_q(\mathfrak{g}_J)$ the subalgebra of $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_i , f_i , and t_i $(i \in J)$. Note that if $J \subseteq I$, then \mathfrak{g}_J is a finite-dimensional semisimple Lie algebra. Similarly, for a subset J of I, we denote by B_J the crystal B equipped with the maps wt, ε_i , φ_i , \tilde{e}_i , and \tilde{f}_i for $i \in J$. We say that a crystal B over $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ is regular if, for any $J \subseteq I$, B_J is isomorphic to the crystal associated with an integrable $U'_q(\mathfrak{g}_J)$ -module. This

condition is equivalent to saying that the same assertion holds for any $J \subseteq I$ with one or two elements (see [6, Proposition 2.4.4]).

Let B be a regular crystal. For $b \in B$, let $\tilde{e}_i^{\max}b = \tilde{e}_i^k b$ such that $\tilde{e}_i^k b \neq 0$, $\tilde{e}_i^{k+1}b = 0$, and define

(3.4)
$$E(b) = \{\tilde{e}_{i_1} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_l} b \mid l \ge 0 \text{ and } i_1, \dots, i_l \in I\} \setminus \{0\}, \\ E^{\max}(b) = \{\tilde{e}_{i_1}^{\max} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_l}^{\max} b \mid l \ge 0 \text{ and } i_1, \dots, i_l \in I\}.$$

It follows that

(3.5)
$$E^{\max}(b) \subset E(b),$$

$$E(b') \subset E(b) \text{ for all } b' \in E(b),$$

$$E^{\max}(b') \subset E^{\max}(b) \text{ for all } b' \in E^{\max}(b).$$

Recall that the Weyl group W acts on the regular crystals ([4]). For each $i \in I$, the simple reflection s_i acts on the regular crystal B by

(3.6)
$$S_{i}(b) = \begin{cases} \tilde{f}_{i}^{\langle h_{i}, \operatorname{wt}(b) \rangle} b & \text{if } \langle h_{i}, \operatorname{wt}(b) \rangle \geq 0, \\ \tilde{e}_{i}^{-\langle h_{i}, \operatorname{wt}(b) \rangle} b & \text{if } \langle h_{i}, \operatorname{wt}(b) \rangle \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

For $w = s_{i_r} s_{i_{r-1}} \dots s_{i_1} \in W$, its action is given by $S_w = S_{i_r} S_{i_{r-1}} \dots S_{i_1}$.

We first prove:

Lemma 3.3 Let B be a finite regular crystal.

- (a) We have $E(S_w(b)) = E(b)$ for all $b \in B$, $w \in W$.
- (b) E(b) is a connected component of B for any $b \in B$.

Proof. (a) It suffices to show that $S_i(b) \in E(b)$ for all $b \in B$, $i \in I$. If $\lambda = \operatorname{wt}(b)$ satisfies $\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \leq 0$, then by (3.6), our assertion is obvious. If $\langle h_i, \lambda \rangle > 0$, take $w = s_{i_l} \dots s_{i_1} \in W$ such that $\langle h_{i_k}, s_{i_{k-1}} \dots s_{i_1} \lambda \rangle < 0$ for $k = 1, \dots, l$ and $s_i \lambda = w \lambda$ (see [1, Lemma 1.4]). Then for each $n \geq 1$, we have $S_w(S_i S_w)^n b \in E(b)$. Since $S_i S_w$ has finite order, there exists n > 0 such that $(S_i S_w)^n b = b$. Hence $S_i b = S_w(S_i S_w)^{n-1} b \in E(b)$.

(b) Note that for any $b \in B$, we have $\tilde{f}_i b = \tilde{e}_i^{\varphi_i(b)-1} S_i(\tilde{e}_i^{\max} b)$. By (a), this implies E(b) is stable under \tilde{f}_i for all $i \in I$. Hence we have the desired result. Q.E.D.

Definition 3.4 We say that a regular crystal B has a head if E(b) is a finite set for any $b \in B$. In this case, we define the head H(B) of B to be

(3.7)
$$H(B) = \{b \in B | E(b') = E(b) \text{ for every } b' \in E(b)\},$$

and B is called a crystal with head.

In the following, we prove some of the basic properties of the crystals with head.

Lemma 3.5 Suppose that B has a head H(B).

- (a) The head H(B) is stable under \tilde{e}_i 's $(i \in I)$.
- (b) $E(b) \cap H(B) \neq \emptyset$ for all $b \in B$.
- (c) If $b \in H(B)$, then either $\tilde{e}_i b = 0$ for all $i \in I$ or there exist $i_1, \ldots, i_l \in I$ $(l \ge 1)$ such that $b = \tilde{e}_{i_l} \ldots \tilde{e}_{i_1} b$.
- *Proof.* (a) If $b \in H(B)$, then $E(b) \subset H(B)$, since for $b' \in E(b)$ and $b'' \in E(b') \subset E(b)$, we have E(b'') = E(b) = E(b').
- (b) For any $b \in B$, take $b' \in E(b)$ such that E(b') has the smallest cardinality. Then, since $E(b'') \subset E(b')$ for any $b'' \in E(b') \subset E(b)$, we have E(b'') = E(b'), which implies b' belongs to H(B).
- (c) If $b \in H(B)$ and $\tilde{e}_{i_1}b \neq 0$ for some $i_1 \in I$, then by definition we have $E(b) = E(\tilde{e}_{i_1}b)$. Then $b \in E(b)$ implies $b = \tilde{e}_{i_l} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}b$ for some $i_2, \dots, i_l \in I$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.6 Let B be a regular crystal with head and H a subset of B.

- (a) If H is stable under \tilde{e}_i 's $(i \in I)$ and $E(b) \cap H \neq \emptyset$ for any $b \in B$, then H(B) is contained in H.
 - (b) If, in addition, E(b) = E(b') for any $b \in H$ and $b' \in E(b)$, then H = H(B).

Proof. (a) If $b \in H(B)$, take $b' \in E(b) \cap H$. Then $b \in E(b) = E(b') \subset H$.

(b) If
$$b \in H$$
 and $b' \in E(b) \cap H(B)$, then $b \in E(b) = E(b') \subset H(B)$. Q.E.D.

Corollary 3.7 If B is a finite regular crystal, then H(B) = B.

Proof. We may assume that B is connected. By Lemma 3.3, we have E(b) = B for all $b \in B$. Hence H(B) = B. Q.E.D.

4 Structure of Crystals with Head

Let $\psi: H(B) \hookrightarrow B$ denote the inclusion map.

Definition 4.1 We say that B has a regular head if the head H(B) of B becomes a regular crystal with the maps wt, ε_i , φ_i , \tilde{e}_i , \tilde{f}_i $(i \in I)$ defined by

$$\tilde{e}_{i}b = \psi^{-1}(\tilde{e}_{i}\psi(b)),$$

$$\tilde{f}_{i}b = \begin{cases} \psi^{-1}(\tilde{f}_{i}\psi(b)) & \text{if } \tilde{f}_{i}\psi(b) \in H(B), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(4.1)$$

$$\varepsilon_{i}(b) = \varepsilon_{i}(\psi(b)),$$

$$\varphi_{i}(b) = \max\{k \geq 0 | \tilde{f}_{i}^{k}b \in H(B)\}$$

$$= \max\{k \geq 0 | b \in \tilde{e}_{i}^{k}H(B)\},$$

$$\text{wt}(b) = \sum_{i} (\varphi_{i}(b) - \varepsilon_{i}(b))\Lambda_{i} \in P_{\text{cl}}.$$

Let $b \in H(B)$. Then $E(b) \subset H(B)$. If $b' \in E(b)$ satisfies $\tilde{f}_i b' \in H(B)$, then $\tilde{f}_i b' \in E(\tilde{f}_i b') = E(\tilde{e}_i \tilde{f}_i b') = E(b') = E(b)$, and hence E(b) is stable under \tilde{f}_i 's $(i \in I)$. Therefore the connected components of H(B) are of the form E(b).

Let $E(b_0)$ be a connected component of H(B) and set $W(b) = \operatorname{wt}(\psi(b)) - \operatorname{wt}(b)$ for $b \in E(b_0)$, where $\psi : H(B) \hookrightarrow B$ is the inclusion map. Note that, for all $i, j \in I$, we have

$$\langle h_i, W(\tilde{e}_j b) \rangle = \langle h_i, \operatorname{wt}(\psi(\tilde{e}_j b)) \rangle - \langle h_i, \operatorname{wt}(\tilde{e}_j b) \rangle$$

= $\langle h_i, \operatorname{wt}(\psi(b)) + \alpha_j \rangle - \langle h_i, \operatorname{wt}(b) + \alpha_j \rangle$
= $\langle h_i, \operatorname{wt}(\psi(b)) - \operatorname{wt}(b) \rangle = \langle h_i, W(b) \rangle.$

Hence, $W(\tilde{e}_j b) = W(b)$ for all $j \in I$, which implies W(b) is constant on $E(b_0)$.

Let
$$\lambda_0 = \operatorname{wt}(\psi(b_0)) - \operatorname{wt}(b_0)$$
. Since

$$\langle h_i, \lambda_0 \rangle = \langle h_i, \operatorname{wt}(\psi(b_0)) - \operatorname{wt}(b_0) \rangle$$

$$= \varphi_i(\psi(b_0)) - \varphi_i(b_0) > 0,$$

 λ_0 is dominant integral. We will show that there exists a unique embedding of regular crystals $E(b_0) \otimes B(\lambda_0) \to B$ sending $b \otimes u_{\lambda_0}$ to $\psi(b)$ for all $b \in E(b_0)$, where u_{λ_0} is the highest weight vector of $B(\lambda_0)$.

Let D be a finite regular crystal, and let λ be a dominant integral weight. We denote by $B(\lambda)$ the crystal associated with the integrable highest weight $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ -module $V(\lambda)$ with highest weight λ , and let u_{λ} be the highest weight vector of $B(\lambda)$.

Lemma 4.2 For any $b \in D \otimes B(\lambda)$, we have

$$E^{\max}(b) \cap (D \otimes u_{\lambda}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. If it were not true, there would exist $b = b_1 \otimes b_2 \in D \otimes B(\lambda)$ such that $E^{\max}(b) \subset D \otimes b_2$ and $b_2 \in B(\lambda) \setminus \{u_{\lambda}\}$. By the tensor product rule, this implies $E^{\max}(b) = E^{\max}(b_1) \otimes b_2$. Since $b_2 \neq u_{\lambda}$, there exists $i \in I$ such that $\varepsilon_i(b_2) > 0$. Take $b' \in E^{\max}(b_1)$ such that $\varphi_i(b') = 0$. Such a b' exists by [1, Lemma 1.5]. Then we have $\tilde{e}_i(b' \otimes b_2) = b' \otimes (\tilde{e}_i b_2)$, which contradicts $\tilde{e}_i^{\max}(b' \otimes b_2) \in D \otimes b_2$. Q.E.D.

Lemma 4.3 The regular crystal $D \otimes B(\lambda)$ has a regular head and $H(D \otimes B(\lambda)) = D \otimes u_{\lambda}$, which is isomorphic to D as a crystal.

Proof. Since $E(b_1 \otimes b_2) \subset E(b_1) \otimes E(b_2)$, $D \otimes B(\lambda)$ has a head. The second assertion follows from Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 4.2. Hence $D \otimes B(\lambda)$ has a regular head. Q.E.D.

Proposition 4.4 Let D be a finite regular crystal, and let λ be a dominant integral weight. Then for every $b \in D \otimes B(\lambda)$, there exists a positive integer N such that $\tilde{e}_{i_N}^{\max} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}^{\max} b \in D \otimes u_{\lambda}$ if $\tilde{e}_{i_k}^{\max} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}^{\max} b \neq \tilde{e}_{i_{k-1}}^{\max} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}^{\max} b$ for $1 \leq k \leq N$.

Proof. If the proposition were false, there would exist $b \in (D \otimes B(\lambda)) \setminus (D \otimes u_{\lambda})$ and l > 0 such that

$$(4.2) b = \tilde{e}_{i_l}^{\max} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}^{\max} b \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{e}_{i_k}^{\max} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}^{\max} b \neq \tilde{e}_{i_{k-1}}^{\max} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}^{\max} b \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, l.$$

Set $\tilde{e}_{i_k}^{\max} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}^{\max} b = b_k \otimes b'$ with $b_k \in D$ and $b' \in B(\lambda)$. Then b' does not depend on k and we have $b_k = \tilde{e}_{i_k}^{\max} b_{k-1}$. Since D is a finite crystal, all of its weights have level 0. Hence the square lengths of its weights are well-defined.

Since
$$\operatorname{wt}(b_k) = \operatorname{wt}(b_{k-1}) + \varepsilon_{i_k}(b_{k-1})\alpha_{i_k}$$
, we have

$$(4.3) \quad (\operatorname{wt}(b_{k}), \operatorname{wt}(b_{k})) = (\operatorname{wt}(b_{k-1}), \operatorname{wt}(b_{k-1})) + 2\varepsilon_{i_{k}}(b_{k-1})(\operatorname{wt}(b_{k-1}), \alpha_{i_{k}}) + \varepsilon_{i_{k}}(b_{k-1})^{2}(\alpha_{i_{k}}, \alpha_{i_{k}}) = (\operatorname{wt}(b_{k-1}), \operatorname{wt}(b_{k-1})) + \varepsilon_{i_{k}}(b_{k-1})(\alpha_{i_{k}}, \alpha_{i_{k}})\langle h_{i_{k}}, \operatorname{wt}(b_{k-1})\rangle + \varepsilon_{i_{k}}(b_{k-1})^{2}(\alpha_{i_{k}}, \alpha_{i_{k}}) = (\operatorname{wt}(b_{k-1}), \operatorname{wt}(b_{k-1})) + (\alpha_{i_{k}}, \alpha_{i_{k}})\varepsilon_{i_{k}}(b_{k-1})\varphi_{i_{k}}(b_{k-1}) > (\operatorname{wt}(b_{k-1}), \operatorname{wt}(b_{k-1}))$$

for all $k \geq 1$. Hence $(\operatorname{wt}(b_k), \operatorname{wt}(b_k))$ are the same for all $k \geq 1$. Since (4.3) is the equality and $\varepsilon_{i_k}(b_{k-1}) > 0$, we have $\varphi_{i_k}(b_{k-1}) = 0$. Since $\tilde{e}_{i_k}(b_{k-1} \otimes b') = \tilde{e}_{i_k}b_{k-1} \otimes b'$, we have $\varphi_{i_k}(b_{k-1}) \geq \varepsilon_{i_k}(b')$, and hence $\varepsilon_{i_k}(b') = 0$. Write $\operatorname{wt}(\tilde{e}_{i_l}^{\max} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}^{\max}b) = \operatorname{cl}(t_1\alpha_{i_1} + \dots + t_l\alpha_{i_l}) + \operatorname{wt}(b)$. Since $\operatorname{wt}(b) = \operatorname{wt}(\tilde{e}_{i_l}^{\max} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}^{\max}b)$, $t_1\alpha_{i_1} + \dots + t_l\alpha_{i_l}$ is a multiple of the null root δ , which implies $\{i_1, \dots, i_l\} = I$. Hence $\varepsilon_i(b') = 0$ for all $i \in I$, which contradicts $b' \neq u_{\lambda}$.

Note that the subcrystal $D \otimes u_{\lambda}$ of $D \otimes B(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to the crystal $D \otimes T_{\lambda}$, where T_{λ} denotes the crystal with a single element t_{λ} of weight λ and with $\varepsilon_{i}(t_{\lambda}) = \varphi_{i}(t_{\lambda}) = -\infty$. Let B be a regular crystal. In the next theorem, we will show that any morphism of crystals $\Psi: D \otimes u_{\lambda} \to B$ commuting with the \tilde{e}_{i} 's $(i \in I)$ can be extended uniquely to a morphism of regular crystals from $D \otimes B(\lambda) \to B$.

Theorem 4.5 Let D be a finite regular crystal, B a regular crystal, and λ a dominant integral weight. Suppose that there is a morphism of crystals

$$\Psi: D \otimes u_{\lambda} \to B$$

such that $\Psi(D \otimes u_{\lambda}) \subset B$ and Ψ commutes with the \tilde{e}_i 's $(i \in I)$.

Then, if rank $\mathfrak{g} > 2$, the map Ψ can be uniquely extended to a morphism of regular crystals

$$\tilde{\Psi}: D \otimes B(\lambda) \to B.$$

Proof. Let Σ be the set of pairs $(S, \tilde{\Psi})$ satisfying the following properties:

- $(4.4) D \otimes u_{\lambda} \subset S \subset D \otimes B(\lambda),$
- $(4.5) \tilde{e}_i^{\max} S \subset S \text{ for any } i \in I,$
- (4.6) $\tilde{\Psi}$ is a map from S to B such that $\tilde{\Psi}|_{D\otimes u_{\lambda}} = \Psi$,
- (4.7) $\operatorname{wt}(\tilde{\Psi}(b)) = \operatorname{wt}(b) \text{ and } \varepsilon_i(\tilde{\Psi}(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b) \text{ for any } b \in S \text{ and } i \in I,$
- $\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{e}_i^{\max}b) = \tilde{e}_i^{\max}\tilde{\Psi}(b) \quad \text{for any } b \in S \text{ and } i \in I.$

Since Σ is inductively ordered, by Zorn's Lemma, it has a maximal element. Let $(S, \tilde{\Psi})$ be a maximal element. It is enough to prove that S is the same as $D \otimes B(\lambda)$. Assume that they are different.

First we shall prove that there exists $b \in D \otimes B(\lambda) \setminus S$ such that $\tilde{e}_i^{\max}(b) \in S \cup \{b\}$ for any $i \in I$. If it were not true, for any $b \in D \otimes B(\lambda) \setminus S$, there would exist i such that $\tilde{e}_i^{\max}(b) \notin S \cup \{b\}$. Let us take $b_0 \in D \otimes B(\lambda) \setminus S$. Then there is i_0 such that $b_1 = \tilde{e}_{i_0}^{\max}(b) \notin S \cup \{b_0\}$. Repeating this we can find a sequence $\{b_k\}$ and $\{i_k\}$ such that $b_{k+1} = \tilde{e}_{i_k}^{\max}(b_k) \notin S \cup \{b_k\}$. This contradicts Proposition 4.4. Hence there exists $b \notin S$ and $\tilde{e}_i^{\max}b \in S \cup \{b\}$ for all $i \in I$. We shall choose such a b.

Next we shall show that there exists i_0 such that $\tilde{e}_{i_0}^{\max}(b) \in S$. Assuming the contrary, we shall deduce a contradiction. Write $b = b_1 \otimes b_2$. If $\tilde{e}_i^{\max}b = b$ for all $i \in I$, then $0 = \varepsilon_i(b_1 \otimes b_2) = \max(\varepsilon_i(b_1), \varepsilon_i(b_2) - \langle h_i, \operatorname{wt}(b_1) \rangle)$. This implies $\varepsilon_i(b_1) = 0$ for every i, and therefore $\langle h_i, \operatorname{wt}(b_1) \rangle = \varphi_i(b_1) \geq 0$. Since $\langle c, \operatorname{wt}(b_1) \rangle = 0$, we have $\langle h_i, \operatorname{wt}(b_1) \rangle = 0$ for every i. Thus we obtain $\varepsilon_i(b_2) = 0$ for every i and hence $b_2 = u_\lambda$. This contradicts $D \otimes u_\lambda \subset S$.

Note that $\varphi_{i_0}(\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{e}_{i_0}^{\max}b)) = \varphi_{i_0}(\tilde{e}_{i_0}^{\max}b) \geq \varepsilon_{i_0}(b)$. We define $\tilde{\Psi}(b)$ to be $\tilde{f}_{i_0}^{\varepsilon_{i_0}(b)}\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{e}_{i_0}^{\max}b) \in B$. We will show that $(S \cup \{b\}, \tilde{\Psi})$ satisfies (4.4–8). The properties (4.4–6) are automatically satisfied. For (4.7), note that

$$\operatorname{wt}(\tilde{\Psi}(b)) = \operatorname{wt}(\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{e}_{i_0}^{\max}b)) - \varepsilon_{i_0}(b)\alpha_{i_0} = \operatorname{wt}(\tilde{e}_{i_0}^{\max}b) - \varepsilon_{i_0}(b)\alpha_{i_0} = \operatorname{wt}(b).$$

We shall show $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{\Psi}(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b)$ for $i \in I$. Set $J = \{i, i_0\} \subset I$. Let K be the connected component of $D \otimes B(\lambda)$ as a $U'_q(\mathfrak{g}_J)$ -crystal containing b. Then K is a finite set. Take

a highest weight vector $b_1 \in K \subset D \otimes B(\lambda)$. Then since $\tilde{e}_{i_0}^{\max}(b) \in S$ and $\tilde{e}_i^{\max}S \subset S$ for all $i \in I$, b_1 lies in S. By (4.7), $\tilde{\Psi}(b_1)$ is also a highest weight vector with respect to the J-colored arrows, and $\operatorname{wt}(\tilde{\Psi}(b_1)) = \operatorname{wt}(b_1)$. Hence the map $b_1 \mapsto \tilde{\Psi}(b_1)$ extends to a morphism of $U'_q(\mathfrak{g}_J)$ -crystals $\psi : K \to B$. Evidently, $\psi|_{K \cap S} = \tilde{\Psi}|_{K \cap S}$. Since

$$\tilde{\Psi}(b) = \tilde{f}_{i_0}^{\varepsilon_{i_0}(b)} \tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{e}_{i_0}^{\max}b) = \tilde{f}_{i_0}^{\varepsilon_{i_0}(b)} \psi(\tilde{e}_{i_0}^{\max}b) = \psi(\tilde{f}_{i_0}^{\varepsilon_{i_0}(b)}\tilde{e}_{i_0}^{\max}b) = \psi(b),$$

we have the desired property $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{\Psi}(b)) = \varepsilon_i(b)$.

Finally, let us prove (4.8). If $\tilde{e}_i^{\max}(b) \in S$, then

$$\tilde{e}_i^{\max}\tilde{\Psi}(b) = \tilde{e}_i^{\max}\psi(b) = \psi(\tilde{e}_i^{\max}(b)) = \tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{e}_i^{\max}b).$$

If $\tilde{e}_i^{\max}(b) = b$, then $\varepsilon_i(b) = 0$, and hence $\varepsilon_i(\tilde{\Psi}(b)) = 0$. Thus $\tilde{\Psi}(\tilde{e}_i^{\max}b) = \tilde{\Psi}(b) = \tilde{e}_i^{\max}\tilde{\Psi}(b)$. Q.E.D.

Corollary 4.6 Let B be a regular crystal with regular head. For an arbitrary connected component $E(b_0)$ of H(B), let $\psi : E(b_0) \hookrightarrow H(B)$ be the inclusion map. Then there exists a unique embedding of regular crystals $\Psi : E(b_0) \otimes B(\lambda_0) \to B$ such that $\Psi(b \otimes u_{\lambda_0}) = \psi(b)$ for any $b \in E(b_0)$.

Proof. Since $E(b_0)$ is finite, the existence and the uniqueness of Ψ follow immediately from Theorem 4.5. We can also see that Ψ is an embedding by Lemma 4.2.

Q.E.D.

The following theorem describes completely the structure of the regular crystals with regular head.

Theorem 4.7 Suppose rank $\mathfrak{g} > 2$. Then any regular crystal B with regular head has the following decomposition:

$$B\cong \bigsqcup_D D\otimes B(\lambda_D),$$

where D ranges over the connected components of H(B) and λ_D is a dominant integral weight.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $E(b_0) \otimes B(\lambda)$ is connected for all $b_0 \in H(B)$. This follows from the fact that $H(E(b_0) \otimes B(\lambda)) \cong E(b_0) \otimes u_{\lambda}$ and $E(b \otimes u_{\lambda}) = E(b) \otimes u_{\lambda} \ni b_0 \otimes u_{\lambda}$ for any $b \in E(b_0)$. Q.E.D.

5 Highest Weight Crystals and Perfect Crystals

Let k, l be positive integers, λ a dominant integral weight of level k, and B_l a perfect crystal of level l. The definition and the relevant theory of perfect crystals can be found in [5], [6] and [7]. Consider the tensor product of regular crystals $B(\lambda) \otimes B_l$, where $B(\lambda)$ is the crystal for the integrable highest weight module $V(\lambda)$ over $U'_q(\mathfrak{g})$ with a dominant integral highest weight λ . If $k \geq l$, it is known that $B(\lambda) \otimes B_l$ decomposes into a disjoint union of crystals $B(\mu)$, where μ is a dominant integral weight of level k. In fact, $H(B(\lambda) \otimes B_l)$ is a discrete crystal in this case, and coincides with $u_{\lambda} \otimes B_l^{\leq \lambda}$, where $B_l^{\leq \lambda} = \{b \in B_l | \varepsilon_i(b) \leq \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle$ for all $i \in I\}$. Hence we have

$$B(\lambda) \otimes B_l \cong \bigoplus_{b \in B_l^{\leq \lambda}} B(\lambda + \operatorname{wt}(b)).$$

See [6] and [7] for details.

In this work, we will concentrate on the case when k < l. We first observe:

Proposition 5.1 The crystal $B(\lambda) \otimes B_l$ has a head and

$$H(B(\lambda) \otimes B_l) \subset u_{\lambda} \otimes B_l$$
.

Proof. For any $b_1 \otimes b_2 \in B(\lambda) \otimes B_l$, we have $E(b_1 \otimes b_2) \subset E(b_1) \otimes B_l$ and $E(b_1) \otimes B_l$ is a finite set. Hence $B(\lambda) \otimes B_l$ has a head. Now, it is clear that $u_{\lambda} \otimes B_l$ is stable under \tilde{e}_i 's $(i \in I)$. Moreover, for any $u \otimes b \in B(\lambda) \otimes B_l$, by applying \tilde{e}_i 's repeatedly, we get $\tilde{e}_{i_k} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}(u \otimes b) = u_{\lambda} \otimes b' \in u_{\lambda} \otimes B_l$ for sufficiently large $k \geq 1$. Hence our assertion follows from Lemma 3.6 (a). Q.E.D.

In the following, we will show that the head $H(B(\lambda) \otimes B_l)$ of $B(\lambda) \otimes B_l$ is isomorphic to the perfect crystal B_{l-k} . Moreover, we will prove that there exists an isomorphism of crystals

$$B(\lambda) \otimes B_l \cong B_{l-k} \otimes B(\lambda'),$$

where λ' is the dominant integral weight of level k determined by the crystal isomorphism

$$B(\lambda) \otimes B_k \cong B(\lambda')$$

given in [6].

In order to give more precise statements, let us recall the theory of coherent families of perfect crystals developed in [5]. Let $\{B_l\}_{l\geq 1}$ be a family of perfect crystals B_l of level l, and set $B_l^{\min} = \{b \in B_l \mid \langle c, \varepsilon(b) \rangle = l\}$. Here $\varepsilon(b) = \sum_i \varepsilon_i(b) \Lambda_i$, and we will also use $\varphi(b) = \sum_i \varphi_i(b) \Lambda_i$. By the definition of perfect crystal, ε and φ map B_l^{\min} bijectively to $(P_{\text{cl}}^+)_l \stackrel{def}{=} \{\lambda \in P_{\text{cl}} \mid \langle h_i, \lambda \rangle \geq 0, \langle c, \lambda \rangle = l\}$. We set $J = \{(l, b) \mid l \geq 1, b \in B_l^{\min}\}$.

Definition 5.2 A crystal B_{∞} with an element b_{∞} is called a *limit* of $\{B_l\}_{l\geq 1}$ if it satisfies the following conditions:

(5.1)
$$\operatorname{wt}(b_{\infty}) = 0, \ \varepsilon(b_{\infty}) = \varphi(b_{\infty}) = 0,$$

(5.2) for any $(l, b) \in J$, there exists an embedding of crystals

$$f_{(l,b)}: T_{\varepsilon(b)} \otimes B_l \otimes T_{-\varphi(b)} \to B_{\infty}$$

sending $t_{\varepsilon(b)} \otimes b \otimes t_{-\varphi(b)}$ to b_{∞} ,

(5.3)
$$B_{\infty} = \bigcup_{(l,b)\in J} \operatorname{Im} f_{(l,b)}.$$

If a limit exists, we call $\{B_l\}_{l\geq 1}$ a coherent family of perfect crystals. It was proved in [5] that the limit (B_{∞}, b_{∞}) is unique up to an isomorphism. Note that we have

$$\langle c, \varepsilon(b) \rangle \geq 0$$
 for any $b \in B_{\infty}$.

We set $B_{\infty}^{\min} = \{b \in B_{\infty} | \langle c, \varepsilon(b) \rangle = 0\}$. Then both ε and φ map B_{∞}^{\min} bijectively to $P_{\text{cl}}^{0} = \{\lambda \in P_{\text{cl}} | \langle c, \lambda \rangle = 0\}$. Moreover, there is a linear automorphism σ of P_{cl}^{0} such that $\sigma \varphi(b) = \varepsilon(b)$ for any $b \in B_{\infty}^{\min}$. We assume further the following condition:

(5.4)
$$\sigma$$
 extends to a linear automorphism σ of $P_{\rm cl}$ such that $\sigma\varphi(b)=\varepsilon(b)$ for any $b\in B_l^{\rm min}$.

We conjecture that all the coherent families satisfy this condition. Moreover, σ sends the simple roots to the simple roots, and there exists an element of the Weyl group W such that its induced action on $P_{\rm cl}^0$ coincides with $\sigma|_{P_{\rm cl}^0}$.

In the sequel, we fix a coherent family $\{B_l\}_{l\geq 1}$ of perfect crystals satisfying the condition (5.4). For positive integers k and l with k < l, let λ be a dominant integral weight of level k and set $\lambda' = \sigma^{-1}\lambda$. Then we have:

Lemma 5.3 There exists a unique embedding of crystals

$$\psi: B_{l-k} \to T_{\lambda} \otimes B_l \otimes T_{-\lambda'}$$
.

Moreover, we have $\psi(B_{l-k}^{\min}) \subset T_{\lambda} \otimes B_{l}^{\min} \otimes T_{-\lambda'}$.

Proof. Let us first prove the uniqueness. If $b \in B_{l-k}$ is sent to $t_{\lambda} \otimes b' \otimes t_{-\lambda'}$, then we have $\varepsilon(b') = \varepsilon(b) + \lambda$, and hence we have $\langle c, \varepsilon(b') \rangle = \langle c, \varepsilon(b) \rangle + k$. Therefore, ψ sends B_{l-k}^{\min} to $T_{\lambda} \otimes B_{l}^{\min} \otimes T_{-\lambda'}$, and $\psi|_{B_{l-k}^{\min}}$ is uniquely determined because $\varepsilon : B_{l}^{\min} \to P_{\text{cl}}$ is injective. Now, the uniqueness of ψ follows from the connectedness of B_{l-k} .

We shall prove the existence. Let us take a dominant integral weight ξ of level l-k and set $\mu = \lambda + \xi$. Then μ is of level l. Set $\mu' = \sigma^{-1}\mu$ and $\xi' = \sigma^{-1}\xi$. Let us take $b_l \in B_l$

such that $\varepsilon(b_l) = \mu$ and $b_{l-k} \in B_{l-k}$ such that $\varepsilon(b_{l-k}) = \xi$. Then they are minimal vectors and we have the embeddings

$$f_{(l,b_l)}: T_{\mu} \otimes B_l \otimes T_{-\mu'} \to B_{\infty},$$

$$f_{(l-k,b_{l-k})}: T_{\xi} \otimes B_{l-k} \otimes T_{-\xi'} \to B_{\infty}$$

such that $f_{(l,b_l)}(b_l) = f_{(l-k,b_{l-k})}(b_{l-k}) = b_{\infty}$. We shall show

$$\operatorname{Im}(f_{(l-k,b_{l-k})}) \subset \operatorname{Im}(f_{(l,b_l)}).$$

Since B_{l-k} is connected, it is enough to show that if $b \in B_{l-k}$ satisfies $\tilde{e}_i(b) \neq 0$ and $f_{(l-k,b_{l-k})}(t_{\xi} \otimes b \otimes t_{-\xi'}) \in \text{Im}(f_{(l,b_l)})$, then $f_{(l-k,b_{l-k})}(t_{\xi} \otimes \tilde{e}_i b \otimes t_{-\xi'})$ also belongs to $\text{Im}(f_{(l,b_l)})$. Write $f_{(l-k,b_{l-k})}(t_{\xi} \otimes b \otimes t_{-\xi'}) = f_{(l,b_l)}(t_{\mu} \otimes b' \otimes t_{-\mu'})$ with $b' \in B_l$. Then we have $\varepsilon_i(t_{\xi} \otimes b \otimes t_{-\xi'}) = \varepsilon_i(t_{\mu} \otimes b' \otimes t_{-\mu'})$, which implies $\varepsilon_i(b') = \varepsilon_i(b) + \langle h_i, \mu - \xi \rangle > 0$. Hence we have $f_{(l-k,b_{l-k})}(t_{\xi} \otimes \tilde{e}_i b \otimes t_{-\xi'}) = f_{(l,b_l)}(t_{\mu} \otimes \tilde{e}_i b' \otimes t_{-\mu'})$, which gives (5.5). Therefore we obtain an embedding of crystal $T_{\xi} \otimes B_{l-k} \otimes T_{-\xi'} \to T_{\mu} \otimes B_l \otimes T_{-\mu'}$. This induces the desired embedding ψ .

Theorem 5.4 Suppose rank $\mathfrak{g} > 2$, and let $\{B_l\}_{l\geq 1}$ be a coherent family of perfect crystals satisfying the condition (5.4). For a pair of positive integers k and l with k < l, let λ be a dominant integral weight of level k and $\lambda' = \sigma^{-1}\lambda$. Then we have an isomorphism of crystals

$$(5.6) B(\lambda) \otimes B_l \cong B_{l-k} \otimes B(\lambda').$$

Proof. Let $\psi: B_{l-k} \to T_{\lambda} \otimes B_l \otimes T_{-\lambda'}$ be the embedding given in Lemma 5.3. Let $B_l^{(\lambda)}$ be the subset of B_l such that $\psi(B_{l-k}) = T_{\lambda} \otimes B_l^{(\lambda)} \otimes T_{-\lambda'}$. In order to prove the theorem, we shall show:

- (5.7) $H_{\lambda} = u_{\lambda} \otimes B_{I}^{(\lambda)}$ is closed under \tilde{e}_{i} 's $(i \in I)$,
- (5.8) for any $b \in B_l$, $E(u_\lambda \otimes b) \ni u_\lambda \otimes b'$ for some $b' \in B_l^{(\lambda)}$,
- (5.9) there exists a bijection $\Psi: u_{\lambda} \otimes B_{l}^{(\lambda)} \to B_{l-k}$ that commutes with \tilde{e}_{i} 's $(i \in I)$.

Once we have proved them, Lemma 3.6 along with Lemma 3.3 would imply

$$H(B(\lambda) \otimes B_l) = u_{\lambda} \otimes B_l^{(\lambda)},$$

and, since $H_{\lambda} \cong B_{l-k}$ is connected, Theorem 4.7 yields a crystal isomorphism

$$B(\lambda) \otimes B_l \cong H_{\lambda} \otimes B(\lambda') \cong B_{l-k} \otimes B(\lambda').$$

Proof of (5.7) and (5.9): They are easily deduced from the existence of ψ and the fact that $\tilde{e}_i(b) = 0$ if and only if $\varepsilon_i(b) = 0$ for b in B_{l-k} or in $u_{\lambda} \otimes B_l^{(\lambda)}$.

Proof of (5.8): Let us take a dominant integral weight ξ of level l-k and set $\mu=\lambda+\xi$. Since B_l is perfect, there exists a unique element $b' \in B_l$ with $\varepsilon(b') = \mu$. Then b' belongs to $B_l^{(\lambda)}$ by Lemma 5.3. We have a crystal isomorphism $B(\mu) \otimes B_l \xrightarrow{\sim} B(\mu')$ given by $u_{\mu} \otimes b' \mapsto u_{\mu'}$, where $\mu' = \sigma^{-1}\mu$, and u_{μ} (resp. $u_{\mu'}$) denotes the highest weight vector of $B(\mu)$ (resp. $B(\mu')$) (cf. [6]). Hence, for any $b \in B_l$, there exist $i_1, \ldots, i_t \in I$ such that

$$\tilde{e}_{i_t} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}(u_\mu \otimes b) = u_\mu \otimes \tilde{e}_{i_t} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}b = u_\mu \otimes b'.$$

In particular, we have $\varepsilon_{i_s}(\tilde{e}_{i_{s-1}}\dots\tilde{e}_{i_1}b) > \langle h_{i_s},\mu\rangle \geq \langle h_{i_s},\lambda\rangle$ for $s=1,\dots,t$. This gives

$$\tilde{e}_{i_t} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}(u_\lambda \otimes b) = u_\lambda \otimes \tilde{e}_{i_t} \dots \tilde{e}_{i_1}b = u_\lambda \otimes b' \in u_\lambda \otimes B_l^{(\lambda)},$$

which proves (5.8). Q.E.D.

In the following, we will give a list of coherent families of perfect crystals $\{B_l\}_{l\geq 1}$ satisfying the condition (5.4) for each quantized affine algebra $U_q'(\mathfrak{g})$ of type $A_n^{(1)}$, $B_n^{(1)}$, $C_n^{(1)}$, $D_n^{(1)}$, $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$, $A_{2n}^{(2)}$, and $D_{n+1}^{(2)}$. For a positive integer k < l, and a dominant integral weight $\lambda = a_0\Lambda_0 + a_1\Lambda_1 + \cdots + a_n\Lambda_n$ of level k, Theorem 5.4 yields an isomorphism of crystals

$$B(\lambda) \otimes B_l \cong B_{l-k} \otimes B(\lambda'),$$

where $\lambda' = \sigma^{-1}\lambda$. We will also give explicit descriptions of the head $u_{\lambda} \otimes B_{l}^{(\lambda)}$ of $B(\lambda) \otimes B_{l}$, $\lambda' = \sigma^{-1}\lambda$, and the isomorphism $\Psi : u_{\lambda} \otimes B_{l}^{(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{l-k}$. We follow the notations in [5] and [7].

(a)
$$\mathfrak{g} = A_n^{(1)} \ (n \ge 2)$$
:

$$B_{l} = \{b = (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{n+1} \mid s(b) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} x_{i} = l\},$$

$$k = a_{0} + \dots + a_{n},$$

$$\lambda' = a_{n} \Lambda_{0} + a_{0} \Lambda_{1} + \dots + a_{n-1} \Lambda_{n},$$

$$B_{l}^{(\lambda)} = \{b = (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in B_{l} \mid x_{1} \geq a_{0}, x_{2} \geq a_{1}, \dots, x_{n+1} \geq a_{n}\}.$$

As an A_n -crystal, B_l is isomorphic to $B(l\Lambda_1)$. The crystal structure on B_l is described in [5] and [7].

The isomorphism $\Psi: u_{\lambda} \otimes B_{l}^{(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{l-k}$ is given by

(5.10)
$$\Psi(u_{\lambda} \otimes (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1})) = (x_1 - a_0, \dots, x_{n+1} - a_n).$$

(b)
$$\mathfrak{g} = A_{2n-1}^{(2)} \ (n \ge 3)$$
:

$$B_l = \{b = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{2n} | \ s(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i = l\},$$

$$k = a_0 + a_1 + 2(a_2 + \dots + a_n),$$

$$\lambda' = a_1 \Lambda_0 + a_0 \Lambda_1 + a_2 \Lambda_2 + \dots + a_n \Lambda_n,$$

$$B_l^{(\lambda)} = \{b = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1) \in B_l |$$

$$x_i, \bar{x}_i > a_i \ (i = 2, \dots, n), \ x_1 > a_0, \ \bar{x}_1 > a_1\}.$$

As a C_n -crystal, B_l is isomorphic to $B(l\Lambda_1)$. The crystal structure on B_l is described in [5] and [7].

The isomorphism $\Psi: u_{\lambda} \otimes B_{l}^{(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{l-k}$ is given by

(5.11)
$$\Psi(u_{\lambda} \otimes (x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1)) = (x_1 - a_0, x_2 - a_2, \dots, x_n - a_n, \bar{x}_n - a_n, \dots, \bar{x}_2 - a_2, \bar{x}_1 - a_1).$$

(c)
$$\mathfrak{g} = B_n^{(1)} \ (n \ge 3)$$
:

$$B_l = \{b = (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{2n+1} \mid x_0 = 0 \text{ or } 1, \ s(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i = l\},$$

$$k = a_0 + a_1 + 2(a_2 + \dots + a_{n-1}) + a_n,$$

$$\lambda' = a_1 \Lambda_0 + a_0 \Lambda_1 + a_2 \Lambda_2 + \dots + a_n \Lambda_n,$$

$$B_l^{(\lambda)} = \{b = (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1) \in B_l \mid x_1 \ge a_0, \ \bar{x}_1 \ge a_1,$$

$$x_i, \bar{x}_i \ge a_i \ (i = 2, \dots, n-1), \ 2x_n + x_0 \ge a_n, \ 2\bar{x}_n + x_0 \ge a_n\}.$$

As a B_n -crystal, B_l is isomorphic to $B(l\Lambda_1)$. The crystal structure on B_l is described in [5] and [7].

The isomorphism $\Psi: u_{\lambda} \otimes B_{l}^{(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{l-k}$ is given as follows. If a_{n} is even,

(5.12)
$$\Psi(u_{\lambda} \otimes (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}, x_{0}, \bar{x}_{n}, \dots, \bar{x}_{1}))$$

$$= (x_{1} - a_{0}, x_{2} - a_{2}, \dots, x_{n} - \frac{a_{n}}{2}, x_{0}, \bar{x}_{n} - \frac{a_{n}}{2}, \dots, \bar{x}_{2} - a_{2}, \bar{x}_{1} - a_{1}).$$

If a_n is odd,

$$\Psi(u_{\lambda} \otimes (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}, x_{0}, \bar{x}_{n}, \dots, \bar{x}_{1}))$$

$$= \begin{cases}
(x_{1} - a_{0}, x_{2} - a_{2}, \dots, x_{n} - \frac{a_{n}+1}{2}, 1, \bar{x}_{n} - \frac{a_{n}+1}{2}, \\
\bar{x}_{n-1} - a_{n-1}, \dots, \bar{x}_{2} - a_{2}, \bar{x}_{1} - a_{1}) & \text{if } x_{0} = 0, \\
(x_{1} - a_{0}, x_{2} - a_{2}, \dots, x_{n} - \frac{a_{n}-1}{2}, 0, \bar{x}_{n} - \frac{a_{n}-1}{2}, \\
\bar{x}_{n-1} - a_{n-1}, \dots, \bar{x}_{2} - a_{2}, \bar{x}_{1} - a_{1}) & \text{if } x_{0} = 1.
\end{cases}$$

(d)
$$\mathfrak{g} = A_{2n}^{(2)} \ (n \ge 2)$$
:

$$B_l = \{b = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{2n} \mid s(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i \le l\},$$

$$k = a_0 + 2(a_1 + \dots + a_n),$$

$$\lambda' = \lambda = a_0 \Lambda_0 + a_1 \Lambda_1 + \dots + a_n \Lambda_n,$$

$$B_l^{(\lambda)} = \{b = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1) \in B_l \mid x_i, \bar{x}_i > a_i \ (i = 1, \dots, n), \ s(b) < l - a_0\}.$$

As a C_n -crystal, B_l is isomorphic to $B(0) \oplus B(\Lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus B(l\Lambda_1)$. The crystal structure on B_l is described in [5] and [7].

The isomorphism $\Psi: u_{\lambda} \otimes B_{l}^{(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{l-k}$ is given by

(5.14)
$$\Psi(u_{\lambda} \otimes (x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1)) = (x_1 - a_1, x_2 - a_2, \dots, x_n - a_n, \bar{x}_n - a_n, \dots, \bar{x}_2 - a_2, \bar{x}_1 - a_1).$$

(e)
$$\mathfrak{g} = D_{n+1}^{(2)} \ (n \ge 2)$$
:

$$B_l = \{b = (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{2n+1} \mid x_0 = 0 \text{ or } 1, \ s(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i \le l\},$$

$$k = a_0 + 2(a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1}) + a_n,$$

$$\lambda' = \lambda = a_0 \Lambda_0 + a_1 \Lambda_1 + \dots + a_n \Lambda_n,$$

$$B_l^{(\lambda)} = \{b = (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1) \in B_l \mid x_i, \bar{x}_i \ge a_i \ (i = 1, \dots, n-1),$$

$$2x_n + x_0 \ge a_n, \ 2\bar{x}_n + x_0 \ge a_n, \ s(b) \le l - a_0\}.$$

As a B_n -crystal, B_l is isomorphic to $B(0) \oplus B(\Lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus B(l\Lambda_1)$. The crystal structure on B_l is described in [5] and [7].

The isomorphism $\Psi: u_{\lambda} \otimes B_{l}^{(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{l-k}$ is given as follows. If a_{n} is even,

(5.15)
$$\Psi(u_{\lambda} \otimes (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}, x_{0}, \bar{x}_{n}, \dots, \bar{x}_{1})) = (x_{1} - a_{1}, x_{2} - a_{2}, \dots, x_{n} - \frac{a_{n}}{2}, x_{0}, \bar{x}_{n} - \frac{a_{n}}{2}, \dots, \bar{x}_{2} - a_{2}, \bar{x}_{1} - a_{1}).$$

If a_n is odd,

$$\Psi(u_{\lambda} \otimes (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}, x_{0}, \bar{x}_{n}, \dots, \bar{x}_{1}))$$

$$= \begin{cases}
(x_{1} - a_{1}, x_{2} - a_{2}, \dots, x_{n} - \frac{a_{n}+1}{2}, 1, \bar{x}_{n} - \frac{a_{n}+1}{2}, \\
\bar{x}_{n-1} - a_{n-1}, \dots, \bar{x}_{2} - a_{2}, \bar{x}_{1} - a_{1}) & \text{if } x_{0} = 0, \\
(x_{1} - a_{1}, x_{2} - a_{2}, \dots, x_{n} - \frac{a_{n}-1}{2}, 0, \bar{x}_{n} - \frac{a_{n}-1}{2}, \\
\bar{x}_{n-1} - a_{n-1}, \dots, \bar{x}_{2} - a_{2}, \bar{x}_{1} - a_{1}) & \text{if } x_{0} = 1.
\end{cases}$$

(f)
$$\mathfrak{g} = C_n^{(1)} \ (n \ge 2)$$
:

$$B_l = \{b = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^{2n} \mid s(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i \le 2l, \ s(b) \in 2\mathbb{Z}\},$$

$$k = a_0 + \dots + a_n,$$

$$\lambda' = \lambda = a_0 \Lambda_0 + a_1 \Lambda_1 + \dots + a_n \Lambda_n,$$

$$B_l^{(\lambda)} = \{b = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1) \in B_l \mid x_i, \bar{x}_i \ge a_i \ (i = 1, \dots, n), \ s(b) \le 2(l - a_0)\}.$$

As a C_n -crystal, B_l is isomorphic to $B(0) \oplus B(2\Lambda_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus B(2l\Lambda_1)$. The crystal structure on B_l is described in [5].

The isomorphism $\Psi: u_{\lambda} \otimes B_{l}^{(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{l-k}$ is given by

(5.17)
$$\Psi(u_{\lambda} \otimes (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}, \bar{x}_{n}, \dots, \bar{x}_{1})) = (x_{1} - a_{1}, x_{2} - a_{2}, \dots, x_{n} - a_{n}, \bar{x}_{n} - a_{n}, \dots, \bar{x}_{2} - a_{2}, \bar{x}_{1} - a_{1}).$$

$$\begin{split} & \mathcal{B}_l = \{b = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2n} \mid x_n = 0 \text{ or } \bar{x}_n = 0, \ s(b) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{x}_i = l\}, \\ & k = a_0 + a_1 + 2(a_2 + \dots + a_{n-2}) + a_{n-1} + a_n, \\ & \lambda' = a_1 \Lambda_0 + a_0 \Lambda_1 + a_2 \Lambda_2 + \dots + a_{n-2} \Lambda_{n-2} + a_n \Lambda_{n-1} + a_{n-1} \Lambda_n, \\ & B_l^{(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} \{b = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1) \in B_l \mid x_1 \geq a_0, \ \bar{x}_1 \geq a_1, \\ x_i, \bar{x}_i \geq a_i \ (i = 2, \dots, n-2), \ x_{n-1}, \bar{x}_{n-1} \geq a_n, \\ x_{n-1} + x_n \geq a_{n-1}, \bar{x}_{n-1} + x_n \geq a_{n-1} \} & \text{if } a_{n-1} \geq a_n, \\ \{b = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n, \dots, \bar{x}_1) \in B_l \mid x_1 \geq a_0, \ \bar{x}_1 \geq a_1, \\ x_i, \bar{x}_i \geq a_i \ (i = 2, \dots, n-2), \ x_{n-1}, \bar{x}_{n-1} \geq a_{n-1}, \\ x_{n-1} + \bar{x}_n \geq a_n, \ \bar{x}_{n-1} + \bar{x}_n \geq a_n \end{cases} & \text{if } a_{n-1} \leq a_n. \end{split}$$

As a D_n -crystal, B_l is isomorphic to $B(l\Lambda_1)$. The crystal structure on B_l is described in [5] and [7].

if $a_{n-1} < a_n$.

The isomorphism $\Psi: u_{\lambda} \otimes B_{l}^{(\lambda)} \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{l-k}$ is given as follows. If $a_{n-1} \geq a_n$,

$$\Psi(u_{\lambda} \otimes (x_{1}, \dots, x_{n}, \bar{x}_{n}, \dots, \bar{x}_{1}))
= (x_{1} - a_{0}, x_{2} - a_{2}, \dots, x_{n-2} - a_{n-2},
(5.18)

$$x_{n-1} - a_{n} - (a_{n-1} - a_{n} - x_{n})_{+}, (x_{n} - a_{n-1} + a_{n})_{+},
\bar{x}_{n} + (a_{n-1} - a_{n} - x_{n})_{+}, \bar{x}_{n-1} - a_{n} - (a_{n-1} - a_{n} - x_{n})_{+},
\bar{x}_{n-2} - a_{n-2}, \dots, \bar{x}_{2} - a_{2}, \bar{x}_{1} - a_{1}),$$$$

and if $a_{n-1} \leq a_n$,

References

- [1] T. Akasaka, M. Kashiwara, Finite-dimensional representations of quantum affine algebras, to appear in Publ. RIMS, q-alg 9703028.
- [2] V. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [3] M. Kashiwara, On crystal bases of the q-analogue of universal enveloping algebras, Duke Math. J. **63** (1991), 465–516.
- [4] M. Kashiwara, Crystal bases of modified quantized enveloping algebras, Duke Math. J. 73 (1994), 383–413.
- [5] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, K. C. Misra, Crystal bases of Verma modules for quantum affine Lie algebras, Compositio Math. 92 (1994), 299–325.
- [6] S.-J. Kang, M. Kashiwara, K. C. Misra, T. Miwa, T. Nakashima, A. Nakayashiki, Affine crystals and vertex models, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, Suppl. 1A (1992), 449–484.
- [7] _____, Perfect crystals for quantum affine Lie algebras, Duke Math. J. **68** (1992), 499–607.
- [8] G. Lusztig, Introduction to Quantum Groups, Progress of Mathematics 10, Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [9] A. Nakayashiki, Fusion of the q-vertex operators and its applications to solvable vertex models, Commun. Math. Phys. 177 (1996), 27-62.
- [10] Y. Saito, *PBW basis of quantized universal enveloping algebras*, Publ. RIMS **30** (1994), 209–232.